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Hispanics Rising: Executive Summary

At 15% of the US population today, Hispanics are now America’s largest “minority” group. Over time this fast-growing population will grow to almost 30 percent of the total US population, and will be the central driver of turning America into a “majority-minority” nation by 2050.

This very rapid and profound population change is changing political alignments in the US. Early in this decade George W. Bush’s remarkable success with this electorate was critical to both of his Presidential victories. In 2005, however, the national Republican Party repudiated successful Hispanic strategy championed by the Bush family, and adopted a much more anti-immigrant, anti-Hispanic strategy that was instrumental in fueling the 2006 immigration rallies, and swinging Hispanics significantly to the Democrats.

The 2008 cycle saw a continuation of this GOP narrative, and a Democratic Party embracing, tentatively, the new demographic realities of the 21st century and one of its most visible battlegrounds - immigration reform. The Hispanic electorate stayed with Democrats: In six battleground states critical to the Electoral College - CO, FL, IN, NM, NV and VA - increases in Hispanic turnout helped tip these states for Democrat. This significant swing of Latinos votes, was instrumental in electing Barack Obama to the White House.

Data from this election cycle shows that the Hispanic community is still with Democrats and still wary of the GOP, but their intention to vote trails far below the national average. This is a change, a sign perhaps of their disappointment in Washington’s continued inability to resolve the issue so close to their communities and their families - immigration reform. How the two political parties manage this issue this year and in the years - particularly given the fuel a new law in Arizona has added to the fire - will be critical to shaping the Hispanic population’s future political path, and given their numbers, perhaps the nation itself.
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Our Changing Country:
An Overview of The Changing Hispanic Population
The Center of Gravity of the United States is Moving

The Center of Gravity of the United States is Becoming:

• More Southern and Western
• More Suburban and Exurban
• More Hispanic and Asian
• More Millennial
• More Aging Boomer

U.S. Census Bureau Population Change and Distribution Census (2000)
The Largest Cities in America are Shifting from Northeast to South and West

100 Largest Cities Shift from Northeast to South and West

There has been a 70% Population Shift to the South and West

U.S. Census Bureau Cities with 100,000 or More Population Ranked by Population (2000)
The U.S. Minority Population

Hispanics are now our nation’s largest minority.

(in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minority</th>
<th>Population (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Alaskan Native</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hispanic Population Boom: By 2050 Hispanics Will More than Double their Size

Current Hispanic Population By State

3

Please see Appendix B for state by state breakdown of Hispanic population and voting age population

U.S. Census State and County Quick Facts (2010)
The Hispanic Population of the U.S. is young and increasingly more foreign born.

More than one of every two people added to the nation’s population between July 1, 2007, and July 1, 2008, was Hispanic. There were 1.5 million Hispanics added to the population during the period.

According to the US Census Bureau, of all those living in the United States, 15% are Hispanic and approximately 12% speak some Spanish at home. This would indicate that about 80% of Hispanics speak Spanish at home.

- **Country of Origin**
  - 65.5% Mexican
  - 8.9% Puerto Rican
  - 8.3% Central American
  - 5.8% South American
  - 5.1% Other Hispanic
  - 3.5% Cuban
  - 2.8% Dominican

- **Diverse levels of Citizenship**
  - 14 million Hispanic citizens
  - 11.9 million non-citizens
  - 4.9 million naturalized citizens
  - 306,000 born abroad to American parents
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The Hispanic Electorate
The Hispanic Electorate is Growing Rapidly
In the Last Decade the Hispanic Eligible Voting Age Population has Increased by 50%
(in millions)

Year | Total Eligible Voting Age Population | Voted
--- | --- | ---
2000 | 14.2 | 7.3
2004 | 16.5 | 9.7
2008 | 19.3 | 11.7

Hispanic Citizens Reaching Voting Age By Election Cycle

The Number of Eligible Voting Age Hispanics is Rapidly Increasing Every Year

U.S. Census American Community Survey (2008)
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2000-2004 General Elections:
GOP Recognizes the Power of the Hispanic Electorate
President Bush Pioneered a Modern Hispanic Strategy for the GOP

Remarks by Matthew Dowd, chief pollster to President Bush:

• “Republicans have to increase their percentage among blacks and certainly among Hispanics.” Washington Post, 7/8/01

• “We can't survive as a party without getting more of the Hispanic vote.” Chicago Tribune, 1/27/08

• “You can’t start to campaign in September for the general election among Hispanics. They are very frustrated with Bush and the Republicans, so McCain has a bigger hurdle to overcome.” New York Times 10/22/08

• "...the fact (is) that the Latino vote in this country is the fastest-growing demographic of the electorate -- it's grown 400 percent in the last 20 years.” Wall Street Journal, 4/3/06
President Bush’s Team Invested Heavily in Hispanic Media

- “In 2000 Governor George Bush’s campaign outspent Vice President Al Gore on Spanish-language media $2,274,000 to $960,000.

- From its inception, the Bush campaign promised an unprecedented Hispanic outreach program and an intention to run historic amounts of Spanish language television ads in key Hispanic states.

- In a decision that ultimately may have won Bush the presidency, his campaign and the RNC heavily targeted the Spanish-language television stations in Miami/Ft. Lauderdale, Orlando, and Tampa as part of an aggressive strategy to win the state of Florida.

- The entire team of top-level Hispanic media advisers to the Gore campaign and the DNC believed that the Gore campaign had not put a high enough priority on the Hispanic Campaign, according to interviews with staff members and consultants.”

- “It was the key losing factor, We think that if we had been stronger in Miami we would have won Florida. We could have picked up those thousand votes very easily.” - Pablo Izquierdo, Account Supervisor EMM Creative, the media firm responsible for Gore’s Spanish language media Advertisements
Total Spanish-Language TV Spending by Presidential General Election Candidates in 2000

Gore: $960,000
Bush: $2,274,000

Hispanic Voter Project at Johns Hopkins University (2003)
Total Spanish-Language TV Spending by Presidential General Election Candidates in 2004

- Kerry: $1,349,000
- Bush: $3,282,000
President Bush’s Hispanic Strategy Yielded Results

Percentage of Hispanic Vote General Elections 1996-2004

- **2004**
  - Democrat: 59%
  - Republican: 40%
- **2000**
  - Democrat: 62%
  - Republican: 35%
- **1996**
  - Democrat: 73%
  - Republican: 21%

General Election Results in Four Heavily Hispanic States 2004

- **Colorado**: Kerry 47%, Bush 52%
- **Nevada**: Kerry 48%, Bush 51%
- **New Mexico**: Kerry 49%, Bush 50%
- **Florida**: Kerry 42%, Bush 56%
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2005 - 2006
The GOP Makes a Strategic Blunder
GOP Rejects President Bush Hispanic Strategy Adopts Anti-Immigrant Rhetoric

- The Sensenbrenner bill passed by the House in 2005 calls for making all undocumented immigrants felons.
- In 2006, this bill led to huge marches, the largest civic demonstrations in the United States in this generation.
- GOP House leader John Boehner refused to take up the McCain-Kennedy bill that passed a Republican controlled Senate with 62 votes including 22 Republicans.
- In more than a dozen states, the GOP ran ads comparing Mexican immigrants to Islamic terrorists.

http://youtube.com/watch?v=AjVdAL1gfBI
Hispanics Understood the New Political Reality

“What is the Most Important Problem Facing US Hispanics?”

- **Discrimination**: 37%
- **Education**: 15%
- **Economy**: 8%
- **Immigration**: 5%
- **Unemployment**: 3%
- **Not Sure/ No Response**: 3%
- **Other**: 2%
- **Lack of Opportunities**: 2%
- **Immigration**: 15%
- **Other**: 21%
The 2006 Immigration Debate Encouraged Electoral Participation Among Immigrant Voters

“How Does the Debate on Immigration Affect Your Intention to Vote in the Next Election?”

- 54% Increase Intention
- 33% Has No Impact
- 9% Decreases Intention
- 5% Not Sure/No Response
The GOP’s Anti-Immigrant Strategy was a Political Disaster

Effects on Hispanic Electorate

• Took away one of the GOP’s most important new tools – Spanish-language media

• Alienated the fastest-growing part of the American electorate the ever-growing Hispanic population

• Reinforced the Democratic argument that Republicans were incapable of tackling the tough problems facing the nation

“Nativism’s Electoral Flop, bashers of illegal immigration are failing at the polls.”

Washington Post 2/14/08
And in 2006 Hispanics Fled the GOP  A Dramatic Reversal

2004

Republican candidate: 40%
Democratic candidate: 59%

2006

Republican candidate: 30%
Democratic candidate: 69%
The GOP’s Response to the Election of 2006?
Appoint a Bilingual Hispanic Immigrant to be RNC Chair

Former RNC Chairman Mel Martinez
Anti-Immigrant Strategy Prevails In GOP Post 2006 Elections

GOP Continue to Alienate Hispanics

- Republicans defeated the 2007 Senate immigration bill.

- The Department of Homeland Security “No match” program, has significant, negative impact on Hispanic families.

- Under scrutiny from his own party U.S. Senator Mel Martinez resigned as RNC Chair less than a year after assuming the position.

- In the 2008 Primary Tom Tancredo, Mitt Romney and Mike Huckabee ran ads attacking Hispanic immigrants.

- Senator John McCain withdrew support of comprehensive immigration reform.
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Moving The Electoral Map:
The Hispanic Belt
The Core Democratic and Republican States
Core from 1996-2004 Election Cycles:

- MT
- WA
- UT
- OR
- CA
- NV
- ID
- AZ
- WY
- CO
- NM
- TX
- ND
- SD
- NE
- IA
- IL
- IN
- OH
- WI
- MI
- WI
- IL
- IN
- KY
- TN
- MS
- AL
- GA
- FL
- OH
- WV
- VA
- NC
- SC
- PA
- NY
- MA
- VT
- NH
- ME

248 Democrat
135 Republican
155 “Neutral”

Electoral Count is for 2008 Values
The Core Democratic States
Solid Electoral Count over Four Cycles 248 Core Democratic Electoral Votes

Democrats won 17 states, equaling 248 Electoral College votes or more in 4 consecutive Presidential Elections.

Electoral Count is for 2008 Values
Electoral Count is for 2008 values

The Core Democratic States and Southwest

Electoral count 248 Democrat + 29 Southwest = 277 Total

Winning AZ (10 Votes), CO (9 Votes), NM and NV (5 Votes each) in 2008 (an additional 29 Electoral Votes) gives the Democrats 277 Electoral Votes.
The Core Democratic and Hispanic States

Electoral count: $248 \text{ Democrat} + 56 \text{ Hispanic Democrats} = 304 \text{ Total}$

Adding Florida’s additional 27 Electoral Votes gives Democrats 275. Together, these five Hispanic Rich states put Democrats at 304, even without Ohio.
2008 General Election: Democrats Seize the Hispanic Vote
Caution from Prominent Republicans

I am worried. You cannot ignore the aspirations of the fastest-growing minority in America. Karl Rove, Deputy Chief of Staff and senior advisor to President George W. Bush, aboard Air Force One 8/13/07

At least five swing states that Bush carried in 2004 are rich in Hispanic voters - Arizona, New Mexico, Nevada, Colorado and Florida. Bush won Nevada by just over 20,000 votes. A substantial shift of Hispanic voters toward the Democrats in these states could make the national political map unwinnable for Republicans ... Some in the party seem pleased. They should be terrified. Michael Gerson, President George W. Bush's former chief speechwriter and senior policy advisor, Washington Post 9/19/2007
“[During the 2007 primary season], when McCain was taking a pounding from his party's right wing for supporting immigration reform, he virtually disappeared as Senate Democrats and Republicans tried to hash out a compromise immigration bill. Citing a saying in Spanish, Sen. Robert Menendez (D-N.J.), commented on Sen. McCain’s position: ‘Between that which you say and that which you do, there is a big gulf.’

*Washington Post*, 2/20/07
McCain Turned his Back on Immigration Reform

“What McCain is saying has changed. Whereas once he firmly said that no immigration legislation could work unless it twinned tougher border enforcement with a guest-worker program and a pathway to citizenship for illegal immigrants, he now maintains that sealing the border must come first..."

In a closed-door meeting with House Republicans last week, he again assured conservatives he had gotten the message. He had been beaten up badly on the immigration issue, participants said he told them...

“"When they went to him and said he had to back a [no same-sex] marriage amendment, he said no. When they said campaign finance reform, he said no. When they said torture, he said no," "On this one, he didn't wait. He said yes. Everybody gets this." said Rep. Luis V. Gutierrez (D-Ill.) who worked with McCain on immigration legislation.

The Washington Post, 2/20/2008
Obama Campaign Ran the Most Significant Hispanic Out Reach Program Ever

Un Mensaje Personal

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M8-K-hwCYC8
Hispanic Voter Participation Increased in 2008

2004

122 million votes were cast in the general election.

An estimated 16.5 million Hispanics were eligible to vote.

9.7 million Hispanics voted.

Hispanics made up 8% of the national electorate.

2008

125 million votes were cast in the general election.

An estimated 19.3 million Hispanics were eligible to vote.

11.7 million Hispanics voted.

Hispanics made up 9% of the national electorate.
Obama Takes Advantage of the Republican Stumble
Makes Huge Gains with Hispanics

- In 2004 President Bush won 40% of the Hispanic vote – the highest share won by any Republican.

- Since 2004, Democrats have invested much more time and money in pursuing the Hispanic vote by targeting the regions in which they live.
  - Nevada was chosen as the second caucus state in the nation.
  - The Democratic Convention was in Denver.

- The Obama campaign and the Democratic National Committee budgeted $20 million on a grassroots organizing and advertising effort aimed at shoring up the support of Hispanics.
Hispanic Voter Turnout Soars From 2000 to 2008

Hispanics as a Percent of National Vote

2000: 5%
2004: 8%
2008: 9%
In 2008 Obama significantly increased the Democratic share of the Hispanic vote from 2004.
Hispanics Fled the GOP in 2008
As Democrats Made Huge Gains Among this Population

2008 Hispanic Vote General Election

Democrat: 7,869,724
Republican: 3,641,216

CNN - EMR Exit Poll (2008)
Republicans were denied all 3 of the highly Hispanic swing Southwestern states. McCain also lost Florida. The degradation of the GOP brand in the Hispanic community in the aftermath of the immigration debate proved to be disastrous in these important swing states.4

4Please see Appendix B for more information on the breakdown of the Hispanic Electorate in the 2008 and 2004 elections
Hispanic Electorate by State
2008 - Percentage of The General Election

New Mexico: 41%
Texas: 20%
California: 18%
Arizona: 16%
Nevada: 15%
Florida: 14%
Colorado: 13%
New York: 9%
Illinois: 7%
New York: 6%
2008 Hispanic Vote Performance - Age

Age 18-29 | Age 30-44 | Age 45-64 | Age 65+
---|---|---|---
Total | 18 | 34 | 29 | 16 | 11
Hispanic | 33 | 22 | | |
2004-2008 Hispanic Turnout
Democratic Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Colorado</th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>Nevada</th>
<th>New Mexico</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2004-2008 Hispanic Turnout
Republican Performance

2004-2008 EMR National Election Poll
2004-2008 Hispanic Turnout Democratic Partisan Shift

- Colorado: -15
- Florida: 27
- Nevada: 33
- New Mexico: 27
- Total: 27

2008-2004 EMR National Election Poll
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2009-2010: Continued Progress Challenges Remain
The Triumph of Supreme Court Justice Sotomayor

Soaring Hispanic Population will Have a Political Impact

“The largest and fastest-growing minority group finds itself in an anomalous position this year. In some respects, its stature has never been higher, with the Supreme Court appointment of Sonia Sotomayor signaling that one more historic barrier has fallen to the talent and ambition of the Spanish-speaking community.”

David Broder, Washington Post, 4/1/2010
Record Number of Hispanic Appointments

The number of Hispanics nominated to senate confirmed positions exceeds that of any administration in history. The President has Latino advisors at every level in the White House including:

**Environmental Justice and stewardship** - Ken Salazar, Secretary of the Interior

**Economic and labor market reform:** Hilda Solis, Secretary of Labor

**Director of the White House Office of Urban Affairs:** Adolfo Carrión

**White House Director of Intergovernmental Affairs:** Cecilia Muñoz

**Education:** Thelma Melendez, Assistant Secretary for Elementary & Secondary Education

**Civil rights:** Tom Perez, Assistant Attorney General at DOJ; John Trasviña, Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing, HUD
Meeting the Needs of the Hispanic Community: Legislative Accomplishments

Extended unemployment (and modified eligibility in a way that dramatically benefits Latinos) for families in need.

Provided support to states - saving thousands of jobs for teachers, firefighters, police officers and first responders.

Developed housing initiatives to help families stay in their homes.

Invested heavily in education from cradle to career so that everyone can have access to the American Dream. (Latino students make up nearly one in five public school students, but also have the highest drop out rate.)
Health Care: Incredibly Important to the Hispanic Community

The health insurance reform law overwhelmingly benefits the Latino community perhaps more than any other:

Latinos have the highest rate of uninsured and as many as 9 million Latinos without health insurance today will be eligible for coverage under the new health reform measures.

Will help close health care disparities in part by lowering costs, expanding access, increasing quality of care, and making it easier for people to get preventive care - vital for a community that sees disproportionately greater numbers in terms of conditions like diabetes and heart disease.
The Department of Homeland Security Has Ramped Up Enforcement
Straining Its Relationship with the Hispanic Community

Report Faults Training of Local Officers in Immigration Enforcement Program:
State and local police officers who enforce federal immigration laws are not adequately screened, trained or supervised, and the civil rights of the immigrants they deal with are not consistently protected, according to a report released Friday by the Department of Homeland Security inspector general. New York Times 4/2/2010

Latinos increasingly critical of Obama's record on immigration:
.... the Obama administration is finding its relationship with this largely Latino community complicated by its mixed and misunderstood record on immigration enforcement. ....Deportations have increased by 5 percent, reaching 387,790 removals in fiscal year 2009. The increase in removals is due to a 19 percent rise in deportation of criminal immigrants, but two-thirds of those removed were still non-criminals, and the total reached a record high. Washington Post  3/20/2010

Immigration Activists Denounce Quota Memo:
A coalition of immigrant rights groups Tuesday demanded the ouster of the nation's top immigration official, charging that underlings at Immigration and Customs Enforcement were thwarting Obama administration policy by setting a quota on deportations. "The reality is that ICE has gone rogue and needs to be reined in with dramatic action," said Deepak Bhargava, executive director of the Washington-based Center for Community Change. "The agency charged with enforcing the nation's immigration laws is systematically deceiving the president and the American public." Los Angeles Times  3/31/2010
Immigration Remains Important to the Hispanic Voting Block

“How Important Is the Immigration Issue to You And Your Family?”

- Very Important: 51%
- Somewhat Important: 27%
- Not Important: 22%

Bendixen & Amandi: National Hispanic Voter Study on Immigration Policy (March, 2009)
Immigration is Very Important to Hispanic Voters
Even More So to Foreign Born Voters

“How Important is the Immigration Issue to You and Your Family?”

- Very Important: 64% Foreign Born, 40% U.S. Born
- Somewhat Important: 20% Foreign Born, 33% U.S. Born
- Not Important: 16% Foreign Born, 27% U.S. Born

Bendixen & Amandi: National Hispanic Voter Study on Immigration Policy (March, 2009)
Naturalized Voters Vote at a Higher Rate Than American Born Voters

Percentage of Hispanics Voted

- Native Citizen: 48.4%
- Naturalized Citizen: 54.2%

U.S. Census Reported Voting and Registration Among Native and Naturalized Citizens, by Race and Hispanic Origin, and Region of Birth (March, 2009)
Native Born vs. Naturalized Citizen
Voting Trends

Percentage of Registered Hispanics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Native Citizen</th>
<th>Naturalized Citizen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Reported Voting and Registration Among Native and Naturalized Citizens, by Race and Hispanic Origin, and Region of Birth (March, 2009)
Immigrants Question Obama’s Effectiveness on Immigration

“How would you Rate the Job President Obama has done on immigration?”

- Excellent/Good: 40%
- Mediocre/Bad: 50%
- Don’t Know/No Answer: 10%
But Immigrants Still Trust President Obama

“Do You Trust President Obama to Do the Right Thing On The Immigration Reform Issue?”

- Trust to Do the Right Thing: 71%
- Do Not Trust to Do the Right Thing: 20%
- Don’t Know / No Answer: 9%

But That Trust Does Not Necessarily Extend to Congress
Immigrants Support Democrats
And Are Still Wary of the GOP

Do You Trust Republicans in Congress to Do the Right Thing On The Immigration Reform Issue?

- Trust to Do the Right Thing: 24%
- Do Not Trust to Do the Right Thing: 64%
- Don’t Know / No Answer: 12%

Do You Trust Democrats in Congress to Do the Right Thing On The Immigration Reform Issue?

- Trust to Do the Right Thing: 62%
- Do Not Trust to Do the Right Thing: 27%
- Don’t Know / No Answer: 12%
Section 8:

Post 2010: Hispanics and Reapportionment
The Growth In the Hispanic Population is Having an Immediate Impact on the Electoral Map

Hispanics Represent 51% of the population growth in the United States as a whole since 2000.

This explosive population growth will have an immediate effect on congressional apportionment.

• In 2 of the states that will gain more than one seat, Texas and Arizona, Hispanics made up 59% of the overall population growth since 2000.

• Hispanics will make up a combined 77% of population growth in the 11 states projected to lose house seats.
Hispanics Will Play A Huge Roll in Determining Congressional Apportionment

States Gaining a House Seat: Texas (+4), Arizona (+2), Florida (+1), Georgia (+1), Nevada (+1), Oregon (+1), South Carolina (+1), and Utah (+1)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States Losing Seats Would Have Lost More Seats Without Hispanic Population Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE  CT  MD  NJ-1  RI  MA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States Losing a House Seat: Ohio (-2), Illinois (-1), Iowa (-1), Lousiana (-1), Massachusetts (-1), Missouri (-1), New Jersey (-1), New York (-1), and Pennsylvania (-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New Policy Institute*
Additional Information

For more information visit www.ndn.org

This presentation was prepared by Andres Ramirez, Kristian Ramos, Alicia Menendez, Sarah Sanchez and Simon Rosenberg.

Special thanks to Sergio Bendixen, President, Bendixen & Associates, for his generous assistance with the presentation and his years of work with NDN, and Mark Hugo Lopez, Associate Director, Pew Hispanic Center, for his patience and guidance for this report.
Additional Resources

2008 Hispanic Turnout Report:
http://ndn.org/hispanic/0214surveyfull.html

Mother Jones article by Simon Rosenberg and Peter Leyden:
http://www.ndn.org/advocacy/conservativechallenge/the-50-year-strategy.html

2006 Cuba Poll commissioned by NDN:
http://www.ndn.org/hispanic/memos/CubaPoll.html

2006 Election Memos by Simon Rosenberg:
http://www.ndn.org/advocacy/conservativechallenge/makingsense.html
Appendices
## Appendix B

### TOTAL VOTING AGE POPULATION/PERCENTAGE BY STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>% Hispanic Population</th>
<th>Hispanic Population</th>
<th>T.V.A Population</th>
<th>% of Hispanic V.A. Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>134,810</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>5.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>41,853</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>31.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>30.10%</td>
<td>1,955,630</td>
<td>796,000</td>
<td>40.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td>159,525</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>12.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>36.60%</td>
<td>13,457,397</td>
<td>5,193,000</td>
<td>38.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>20.20%</td>
<td>997,062</td>
<td>380,000</td>
<td>38.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>419,391</td>
<td>191,000</td>
<td>45.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>6.80%</td>
<td>59,093</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>32.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C.</td>
<td>8.60%</td>
<td>51,124</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>35.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>21.00%</td>
<td>3,845,069</td>
<td>1,988,000</td>
<td>51.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>777,244</td>
<td>234,000</td>
<td>30.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
<td>112,320</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>40.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
<td>155,827</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>55.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>15.20%</td>
<td>1,967,121</td>
<td>671,000</td>
<td>34.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>5.20%</td>
<td>332,225</td>
<td>102,000</td>
<td>30.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>126,453</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>24.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>9.10%</td>
<td>255,409</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>29.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
<td>101,981</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>3.40%</td>
<td>148,463</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>39.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td>16,814</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>89.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
<td>375,830</td>
<td>145,000</td>
<td>38.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>8.60%</td>
<td>556,897</td>
<td>193,000</td>
<td>34.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>413,827</td>
<td>147,000</td>
<td>35.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>216,574</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>31.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>% Hispanic Population</th>
<th>Hispanic Population</th>
<th>T.V.A Population</th>
<th>% of Hispanic V.A. Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>2.20%</td>
<td>65,798</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>22.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
<td>189,700</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>26.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>28,804</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>38.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>7.90%</td>
<td>140,498</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>33.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>25.70%</td>
<td>668,527</td>
<td>228,000</td>
<td>34.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>34,676</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>40.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>16.30%</td>
<td>1,418,545</td>
<td>661,000</td>
<td>46.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>44.90%</td>
<td>891,013</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td>60.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>16.70%</td>
<td>3,250,038</td>
<td>1,348,000</td>
<td>41.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>7.40%</td>
<td>684,770</td>
<td>118,000</td>
<td>17.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>13,227</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>37.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>302,101</td>
<td>142,000</td>
<td>47.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>7.60%</td>
<td>278,620</td>
<td>93,000</td>
<td>33.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>416,044</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>24.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>593,986</td>
<td>319,000</td>
<td>53.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>11.60%</td>
<td>122,206</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>34.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>183,981</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>20.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.60%</td>
<td>21,016</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>38.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>231,272</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>31.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>36.50%</td>
<td>8,870,475</td>
<td>4,493,000</td>
<td>50.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>329,069</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>27.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>8,588</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>34.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>6.80%</td>
<td>531,396</td>
<td>132,000</td>
<td>24.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>9.80%</td>
<td>643,687</td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>43.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
<td>20,648</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>29.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>285,827</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>52.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>41,162</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>36.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix C
### Hispanic Turnout General Election

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>2008 Total Votes</th>
<th>2008 Total Hispanic Voters</th>
<th>Hispanic %</th>
<th>2004 Total Votes</th>
<th>2004 Total Hispanic Voters</th>
<th>Hispanic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>2099819</td>
<td>83992.76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1882517</td>
<td>18825.17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>3261197</td>
<td>9571.82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3113502</td>
<td>31135.02</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>2293475</td>
<td>366956</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2009674</td>
<td>24160.88</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>1086617</td>
<td>32598.51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1054945</td>
<td>10549.45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>13213832</td>
<td>2378489.76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12400494</td>
<td>264104.79</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>2401349</td>
<td>312175.37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2129630</td>
<td>170370.4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1649399</td>
<td>13951.92</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1578757</td>
<td>110512.99</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>4122598</td>
<td>12371.94</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>376190</td>
<td>7503.8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>265853</td>
<td>13292.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>227080</td>
<td>11354.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>8390744</td>
<td>1174704.16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7609810</td>
<td>114471.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>3924432</td>
<td>117732.96</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3298790</td>
<td>131951.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>453668</td>
<td>36285.44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>429013</td>
<td>42901.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>855032</td>
<td>39301.92</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>597261</td>
<td>23890.44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>5520351</td>
<td>386613.57</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5269938</td>
<td>421595.04</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>2751054</td>
<td>110042.16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2466507</td>
<td>73952.21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1530083</td>
<td>45902.49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1505814</td>
<td>15058.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1235872</td>
<td>49434.88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1187709</td>
<td>59385.45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1826508</td>
<td>38530.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1795860</td>
<td>17958.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1960761</td>
<td>78430.44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1943106</td>
<td>38862.12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>731163</td>
<td>7311.63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>740748</td>
<td>7407.48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>2622391</td>
<td>183567.37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2384197</td>
<td>47683.94</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>3048438</td>
<td>121972.52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2900554</td>
<td>174039.24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5001766</td>
<td>150052.98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4839252</td>
<td>96785.04</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2910369</td>
<td>87311.07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2825855</td>
<td>28258.55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1218286</td>
<td>48731.44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1152146</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>2925205</td>
<td>58504.12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2730070</td>
<td>2730.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>490109</td>
<td>19604.36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>450434</td>
<td>4504.34</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>769807</td>
<td>15396.14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>777255</td>
<td>15545.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>567848</td>
<td>145177.22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>629587</td>
<td>829587.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>707611</td>
<td>141522.22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>676227</td>
<td>6762.27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>3868237</td>
<td>348141.33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3611691</td>
<td>361169.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>9300188</td>
<td>305064.78</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7665764</td>
<td>242108.28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>7590815</td>
<td>455433.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7399164</td>
<td>665924.76</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>4310789</td>
<td>129323.67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3498746</td>
<td>34987.46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>316621</td>
<td>6532.42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>312624</td>
<td>1282.24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>5453237</td>
<td>218129.08</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5627545</td>
<td>168826.35</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>1462661</td>
<td>43879.83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1463758</td>
<td>58550.32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1827864</td>
<td>91393.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1827826</td>
<td>54834.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>6018073</td>
<td>240722.93</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6765764</td>
<td>179372.92</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>489767</td>
<td>32883.69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>436289</td>
<td>21814.45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>1920969</td>
<td>57629.07</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1617730</td>
<td>16177.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>3881975</td>
<td>114592.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>388215</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>2596633</td>
<td>51931.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2437280</td>
<td>24372.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>8077795</td>
<td>1615599.20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7398408</td>
<td>1479681.6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>952370</td>
<td>47618.15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9297601</td>
<td>46390.05</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>325046</td>
<td>31135.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>311352</td>
<td>31135.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>3723260</td>
<td>186163.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3192894</td>
<td>95786.82</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3038878</td>
<td>212581.46</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2859084</td>
<td>142954.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>7142736</td>
<td>21427.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>755783</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>2983417</td>
<td>89502.51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2994021</td>
<td>59880.42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>256035</td>
<td>12801.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>242948</td>
<td>14576.88</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>130509539</td>
<td>11745858.51</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>122205663</td>
<td>9776453.04</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>